



THE EFFECT OF A MINERALIZED BONE GRAFT ON THE SURFACE MICROHARDNESS OF MINERAL TRIOXIDE AGGREGATE AND BIDENTINE

Dental Science

Somya Sahu

Department Of Conservative Dentistry And Endodontics, School Of Dental Sciences, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

Pooja Kabra*

Department Of Conservative Dentistry And Endodontics, School Of Dental Sciences, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India * Corresponding Author

Ekta Choudhary

Department Of Conservative Dentistry And Endodontics, School Of Dental Sciences, Sharda University, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

AIM: The Effect of a Mineralized Bone Graft on the Surface Microhardness of Mineral Trioxide Aggregate and Biodentine

INTRODUCTION: This study was designed to determine the effect of Osteon II mineralized bone powder on the surface microhardness of two retrofilling materials: Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) and Biodentine (BD).

METHODS AND MATERIALS: Each retrograde material was mixed and carried into 60 sterile custom-made Mineralized bone graft materials negatively affect surface microhardness of both MTA and BD. In presence of osteon II, BD had the highest surface microhardness. submerged in simulated tissue fluid and incubated at 37°C and 100% relative humidity for 7 days. Surface microhardness values of each study group was attained using Vicker's microhardness test performed by UHL-VMHT microhardness tester.

CONCLUSION: Mineralized bone graft materials negatively affect surface microhardness of both MTA and BD. In presence of osteon II, BD had the highest surface microhardness.

KEYWORDS

Biodentine; Bone Graft Materials; Mineral Trioxide Aggregate; Vickers Microhardness Test

INTRODUCTION:-

Endodontic surgery is necessary when conservative root canal treatment fails to provide complete apical seal or is not feasible. It is also indicated as the last treatment option for non-healing large periapical lesions before extraction [1]. In such procedures, retrograde materials are used which should ideally prevent microleakage to seal the apex, should be bioactive and biocompatible, should exhibit dimensional stability and antibacterial properties and should at the same time have proper mechanical properties at close proximity to the periapical tissues [2]. Of all the materials which have been introduced as retrofilling materials, none has all the above-mentioned properties and studies are underway to find a superb retrofilling material to achieve the best clinical results. In this context, mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) which is silicate cement with many applications in the field of endodontics has gained popularity. However, difficult handling and long setting time are known disadvantages of MTA [3]. Another concern in relation to this material is vulnerability of its hydration process to the materials found at the endodontic surgical site [4]. The extent and quality of the setting of material can be studied with the use of different techniques, including surface microhardness or the ions released from the material under study [5, 6]. Another environmental factor that should be taken into account in the real environment of periapical surgery is the presence of bone powders. In endodontic surgeries that are associated with large osseous lesions use of bone powders is common clinically to accelerate tissue healing and strong evidence has been reported on the use of such materials [7]; however, it has been shown that the surface microhardness of MTA, which is the most commonly used retrofilling material, decreases significantly in the presence of mineralized bone powders. The mechanism of this effect has not been elucidated definitely; however, it is possible that ions present in the bone powder are involved in such an effect [8]. A decrease in microhardness can result in an increase in solubility and decrease in the apical seal [9]. Biodentine (BD) is a relatively new calcium silicate cement with a high rate of purity and has been claimed to replace dentin in relation to its mechanical properties. This material is marketed in single-dose capsules which mainly consist of tricalcium silicate and calcium carbonate and a small amount of zirconium oxide to provide radiopacity. Its powder and liquid are mixed in an amalgamator for 30 sec and then used. Its setting time has been reported to be 12 min [10]. BD is used in the clinic for several purposes, including root perforations, apexification, pulp capping procedures and as a retrofilling material [11]. Compared to MTA, BD exhibits better workability and histopathological studies have shown its favorable level of biocompatibility [12, 13]. In addition, this material exhibits bacteriostatic properties [14]. The

effect of an acidic environment on the surface microhardness of BD has been compared with MTA, with BD yielding better results. Therefore, BD is recommended under acidic conditions [15]. No data is available on the effect of bone powders on the surface microhardness of BD and no comparison has been made to date between changes in surface microhardness of BD and MTA in the presence of bone powders. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to determine the effect of Osteon II bone powder on the surface microhardness of MTA and BD. The present study was undertaken based on the null hypothesis that Osteon II bone powder (Genoss, Suwon, Korea) has no effect on the surface microhardness of these two retrofilling materials.

METHODS AND MATERIALS:-

A total of 60 custom-made sterile plastic cylinders with an internal diameter of 3 mm and a height of 2 mm were prepared. The materials investigated in this study were: mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA; Angelus, Londrina, Paraná, Brazil) and Biodentine (BD; Septodont, St. Maur-des-Fossés, France). Both materials were mixed and prepared based on manufacturers' instructions. A powder-to-liquid ratio of 3:1 was used for MTA. The powder and liquid of BD were mixed according to manufacturer's instructions in an amalgamator for 30 sec. Each material was carried into 30 cylinders and then condensed against a glass surface by a single operator. An amalgam knife was used to produce an even and smooth surface for the material with plastic cylinders and remove excess material. Physical damage of condensed surface was avoided. The filled cylinders were randomly assigned to control and experimental groups, placed in a 64-well plate and categorized into 4 experimental groups with different environments: Group 1, 15 cylinders of MTA in simulated tissue fluid (STF); Group 2, 15 cylinders of BD in STF; Group 3, 15 cylinders of MTA in STF and exposed to Osteon II and Group 4, 15 cylinders of BD in STF and exposed to Osteon II.

Fig 1:-Depicting grouping of cylinders




Fig 2:-Incubator



Each cylinder was submerged in STF. STF was prepared according to the method suggested by Shahi et al. Group 3 and group 4 samples were indirectly exposed to 0.1 mg of synthetic biphasic calcium phosphate graft material (Osteon II, Genoss, Suwon, Korea). The plate was sealed to prevent dehydration and vaporization and was incubated for 1 week at body temperature. After incubation, the cylinders in each group were mounted on acrylic plates measuring 3 mm in height with the condensed sides facing out. The mounted samples were wet-polished using silicon carbide sandpapers from 400 to 2000 grit, respectively. Finally, the polished acrylic plates were washed with distilled water and dried at room temperature. Surface microhardness of all the groups was determined using Vickers microhardness test performed by VMHT microhardness tester with a load of 300 g and a dwell time of 10 sec. Three indentations were created on each cylinder with a distance of at least 1 mm on the polished and microscopically sound surface of the material. Indentations were placed at least 1 mm far from cylinder periphery. The machine digitally calculated microhardness values after each indentation based on Vickers microhardness formula. $(VHN=2F\sin(136^\circ/2)/d^2=1.854F/d^2)$

Fig 3:- VMT microhardness tester



STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:- The mean value of the three indentations on each cylinder was obtained. Data was collected and analyzed with SPSS software (Statistical Package for Social Science, SPSS, version 16.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Mean and standard deviation of surface micro hardness values of each group were obtained and compared statistically by means of two-way ANOVA after homogeneity of variances was confirmed with Levene's test ($P>0.05$).

RESULT

Table 1 presents the results of surface micro hardness test for each study group.

Retrofilling material (N)	Osteon presence	Mean (SD)	P- value
Biodentine	Yes	80.6247	0.393
	No	98.0480	
MTA	Yes	26.5433	0.270
	No	32.3094	

Descriptive analyses of data showed the highest microhardness for BD in the group in which Osteon II was absent, with the lowest related to MTA in the presence of Osteon II. The interaction effect of these variables was not significant ($P=0.08$). Effect of presence or absence of Osteon was reported 22% whereas the effect of type of retro filling material was reported 86% and observed power was 1%. Adjusted R squared value was 0.86 which means that almost 86% of changes in micro hardness value could be predicted by these two variables (Table 2). It was shown that both independent variables of the type of retrofilling material and the presence of bone powder in the environment caused significant changes in surface microhardness at different levels ($p<0.001$).

Table2. Tests of between – subjects effects- two way ANOVA (Adjust R squared = 0.86)

Source	F Static	Partial Eta Squared	Observed Power	P- value
Corrected Model	126.728	0.671	1	<001
Intercept	1444.157	0.562	1	<001
Retrofil	363.769	0.763	1	<001
Osteon presence	15.707	0.223	0.98	<001
Retrofil* Osteonpresence	3.256	0.047	0.417	0.08

Comparison of the means between the study groups showed that BD was affected more profoundly by the presence of bone powder, with decrease of 17.4 units in surface micro hardness compared to a decrease of 5.8 units in surface micro hardness of MTA.

DISCUSSION:-

Based on the results of the study, the presence of synthetic Osteon II bone powder, can exert a negative effect on the surface microhardness of both retrofilling materials, which is significant statistically. The effect on BD was more than that on MTA in the presence of bone powder; however, BD still exhibited surface microhardness 3 times that of MTA in the presence of Osteon II.

Based on evidence, the surface microhardness of materials shows their degree of setting in different environments and it reflects the strength of the materials in general[5]. Vickers' hardness test is widely used to determine such physical property.

In this in vitro study, efforts were made to simulate the environmental conditions of periapical surgery as much as possible; the thickness of the samples was 3 mm because previous studies have shown that the thickness of the retrofilling material can affect its hardness[16].

All the samples in the study groups were immersed in an environment containing STF, which has been reported to have similar ionic composition to interstitial and dentinal fluid[17].

Moreover, ions in the tissue fluids can affect the complex hydration processes and crystallization of the retrofilling material during setting . STF solution has a great role in simulating the periapical surgical area by providing the moisture of the setting environment and through its content of tissue fluid ions.

The higher surface micro hardness of BD compared to MTA in the present study is consistent with the results of previous studies[18, 19]. No studies to date have evaluated the effect of bone powders on the setting of BD. In the present study Osteon II bone powder, which is considered a mineralized bone powder, was used. Sato et al. [8] reported that mineralized and demineralized bone powders can affect the surface microhardness of WMTA differently. They showed that the surface microhardness of this retrofilling material after one month in the presence of demineralized bone powder was not different from that in the control group; however, the presence of mineralized bone powder, possibly due to the release of ions into the setting environment of the retrofilling materials and their interference with the hydration process, decreased surface microhardness significantly[8]. It should be pointed out that the effect of blood contamination and the periapical tissue inflammatory conditions on retro filling materials in the present study was not simulated and evaluated. The presence of inflammation and the resultant severe decrease in pH is inevitable in the endodontic surgery environment. Both BD and MTA exhibited lower hardness at low pH values, which has been explained in different studies by interference with the setting process, a decrease in adhesion and an increase in the solubility of these cements in the acidic environment.

It was suggested in a study by Elnaghy et al. [15] that BD be used instead of White MTA in the presence of inflammation. Despite the use of different hemostatic techniques, blood contamination of the endodontic surgical environment, especially in the vicinity of large periapical lesions, is inevitable. To evaluate such an effect both serum and blood have been used.

Results showed a severe decrease in micro hardness of MTA in the presence of simulated blood contamination [20]. Evaluation of the microscopic structure showed that blood interferes with the formation of acicular crystals[21]. Further studies are necessary in relation to the time required to follow the results.

In a study by Butt et al., [22] there were no significant changes in the mechanical properties of BD between the 1-week and 3month intervals; however, it was not evaluated whether or not there were changes in the surface micro hardness of BD after one week in the presence of mineralized bone powders. In relation to MTA, studies have shown that the hardness of this material might increase over time[8, 23]. Micro hardness was evaluated only as one of the mechanical properties of retro filling materials and as a criterion for the evaluation of their strength.

CONCLUSION:-

Mineralized bone graft materials negatively affect surface micro hardness of both MTA and BD. In presence of osteon II, BD had the highest surface micro hardness.

REFERENCES:-

1. Torabinejad M, Walton RE. Endodontics: principles and practice: Elsevier Health Sciences;2009.
2. Johnson BR. Considerations in the selection of a root-end filling material. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 1999;87(4):398-404.
3. Parirokh M, Torabinejad M. Mineral trioxide aggregate: a comprehensive literature review--Part III: Clinical applications, drawbacks, and mechanism of action. *J Endod.* 2010;36(3):400-13.
4. Hasheminiya M, Loriaei Nejad S, Asgary S. Sealing Ability of MTA and CEM Cement as Root-End Fillings of Human Teeth in Dry, Saliva or Blood-Contaminated Conditions. *Iran Endod J.* 2010;5(4):151-6.
5. Namazikhah MS, Nekoofar MH, Sheykhrezae MS, Salariyeh S, Hayes SJ, Bryant ST, Mohammadi MM, Dummer PM. The effect of pH on surface hardness and microstructure of mineral trioxide aggregate. *Int Endod J.* 2008;41(2):108-16.
6. Tanomaru-Filho M, Chaves Faleiros FB, Sacaki JN, Hungaro Duarte MA, Guerreiro-Tanomaru JM. Evaluation of pH and calcium ion release of root-end filling materials containing calcium hydroxide or mineral trioxide aggregate. *J Endod.* 2009;35(10):1418-21.
7. Sánchez-Torres A, Sánchez-Garcés M, Gay-Escoda C. Materials and prognostic factors of bone regeneration. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2014;19(4):e419-25.
8. Sato EY, Svec T, Whitten B, Sedgley CM. Effects of bone graft materials on the microhardness of mineral trioxide aggregate. *J Endod.* 2012;38(5):700-3.
9. Hamouda IM. Effects of various beverages on hardness, roughness, and solubility of esthetic restorative materials. *J Esthet Restor Dent.* 2011;23(5):315-22.
10. Teixeira F, Sano C, Gomes B, Zaia A, Ferraz C, Souza-Filho F. A preliminary in vitro study of the incidence and position of the root canal isthmus in maxillary and mandibular first molars. *Int Endod J.* 2003;36(4):276-80.
11. Malkondu O, Karapinar Kazandag M, Kazazoglu E. A review on biodentine, a contemporary dentine replacement and repair material. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;2014:160951.
12. Mori GG, Teixeira LM, de Oliveira DL, Jacomini LM, da Silva SR. Biocompatibility evaluation of biodentine in subcutaneous tissue of rats. *J Endod.* 2014;40(9):1485-8.
13. Zhou HM, Shen Y, Wang ZJ, Li L, Zheng YF, Hakkinen L, Haapasalo M. In vitro cytotoxicity evaluation of a novel root repair material. *J Endod.* 2013;39(4):478-83.
14. Nikhil V, Madan M, Agarwal C, Suri N. Effect of addition of 2% chlorhexidine or 10% doxycycline on antimicrobial activity of biodentine. *J Conserv Dent.* 2014;1(3):271-5.
15. Elnaghy AM. Influence of acidic environment on properties of biodentine and white mineral trioxide aggregate: a comparative study. *J Endod.* 2014;40(7):953-7.
16. Matt GD, Thorpe JR, Strother JM, McClanahan SB. Comparative study of white and gray mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) simulating a one- or two-step apical barrier technique. *J Endod.* 2004;30(12):876-9.
17. Sarkar NK, Caicedo R, Ritwik P, Moiseyeva R, Kawashima I. Physicochemical basis of the biologic properties of mineral trioxide aggregate. *J Endod.* 2005;31(2):97-100.
18. Kaup M, Schafer E, Dammaschke T. An in vitro study of different material properties of Biodentine compared to ProRoot MTA. *Head Face Med.* 2015;11:16.
19. Dawood A, Manton D, Parashos P, Wong R, Palamara J, Stanton D, Reynolds E. The physical properties and ion release of CPPACP-modified calcium silicate-based cements. *Aust Dent J.* 2015;60(4):434-44.
20. Nekoofar MH, Oloomi K, Sheykhrezae MS, Tabor R, Stone DF, Dummer PM. An evaluation of the effect of blood and human serum on the surface microhardness and surface microstructure of mineral trioxide aggregate. *Int Endod J.* 2010;43(10):849-58.
21. Nekoofar MH, Davies TE, Stone D, Basturk FB, Dummer PM. Microstructure and chemical analysis of blood-contaminated mineral trioxide aggregate. *Int Endod J.* 2011;44(11):1011-8.
22. Butt N, Talwar S, Chaudhry S, Nawal RR, Yadav S, Bali A. Comparison of physical and mechanical properties of mineral trioxide aggregate and Biodentine. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2014;25(6):692-7.
23. Nekoofar MH, Aseeley Z, Dummer PM. The effect of various mixing techniques on the surface microhardness of mineral trioxide aggregate. *Int Endod J.* 2010;43(4):312-20.